A PERSONAL BIBLE STUDY GUIDE

The Major Rapture Views

A Scripture Comparison Guide

Keep your Bible open as we consider what each view teaches, where it finds support, and why I remain persuaded of a pre-tribulation rapture

ANDRÉ MOUNTJOY

1. A Word Before We Begin

The question of the rapture is not a small matter to many Christians. It touches our understanding of the church, Israel, Daniel's seventieth week, the Day of the Lord, the return of Christ, and the hope given to believers who are waiting for Him. It can also become a source of unnecessary division when we speak more confidently than Scripture allows or treat every disagreement as though it were a rejection of the Bible.

I have written this guide because I believe comparison can be helpful when it is done honestly. I am not trying to make every view appear equally convincing, because after studying the passages I remain persuaded that the church will be caught up before Daniel's final week. At the same time, I do not want to misrepresent Christians who reach another conclusion, especially when they love the Lord and take His Word seriously.

The most important distinction in this discussion is the difference between what the Bible states directly and what we conclude by bringing several passages together. First Thessalonians 4 plainly teaches that the dead in Christ will rise and that living believers will be caught up with them to meet the Lord in the air. The precise position of that event in the prophetic sequence is not stated in one simple verse, so every timing view must build a case from the relationship between several passages.

That does not mean we cannot reach a conclusion. It means our confidence should match the clarity of the text, and our tone should reflect the humility of people who are standing beneath the Word of God rather than above it.

Contents

2. What Christians Can State With Confidence 2
3. The Pre-Tribulation View 3
4. The Mid-Tribulation View 4
5. The Pre-Wrath View 5
6. The Post-Tribulation View 6
7. The Passages That Shape the Debate 7
8. Comparing the Four Views 8
9. Why I Remain Pre-Tribulation 9
10. Until He Comes 10

2. What Christians Can State With Confidence

Before we ask when the rapture takes place, we should be clear about the event itself. Paul did not leave the church with a vague promise that life would somehow continue after death. He wrote that the Lord Himself will descend from heaven, the dead in Christ will rise, and believers who are alive will be caught up together with them in the clouds to meet the Lord in the air.

First Corinthians 15 adds that the living will be changed and that mortality will put on immortality. John 14 records the promise of Jesus that He will come again and receive His people to Himself, while First Thessalonians 4 gives the event its pastoral purpose by telling believers to comfort one another with these words. The hope is therefore bodily, personal, and centred on Christ.

All four timing views in this guide affirm a future return of Jesus Christ, the resurrection of believers, the transformation of the living, and the final victory of the Lord. The disagreement concerns where the catching up belongs in relation to the tribulation, the appearing of the man of sin, the outpouring of divine wrath, and Christ's visible return to earth.

The word rapture does not appear in the English Bible, but that does not make the event unbiblical. The word comes through the Latin translation of the Greek expression translated in the King James Version as 'caught up.' We should therefore test the teaching by the biblical event, not reject it merely because of the English term commonly used to describe it.

The same care is needed when the word tribulation is used. Christians have always experienced suffering and persecution, but the prophetic debate concerns the unique period connected with Daniel's seventieth week, the abomination of desolation, the man of sin, the judgments in Revelation, and the time Jesus described as great tribulation. Persecution from the world and the judicial wrath of God must not be treated as though they are automatically the same thing.

> **WHAT SCRIPTURE SAYS PLAINLY**
>
> Christ will return, the dead in Christ will rise, living believers will be changed, and together they will be caught up to meet the Lord. The timing of that catching up in relation to Daniel's final week is reached by interpretation and comparison, not by one verse that names the entire sequence.

3. The Pre-Tribulation View

The pre-tribulation view teaches that Christ will gather His church before Daniel's seventieth week begins. On this reading, the catching up of believers and the visible return of Christ to establish His Kingdom belong to the same overall coming of the Lord, but they are distinct moments within it. Christ first receives His people to Himself, and later He returns openly in judgment and victory.

This view builds its case from a cumulative pattern. The church is repeatedly presented as waiting for Christ, not as waiting for the Antichrist, the abomination of desolation, or the mark of the beast. Believers are told that they are not appointed to wrath, and Daniel's final week is addressed to Daniel's people and holy city, keeping Israel and Jerusalem at the centre of that period.

John 14:1-3 and First Thessalonians 4:13-18 describe a comforting reception of believers, while Revelation 19 presents Christ as the Warrior-King who comes openly to judge and reign. Pre-tribulation readers also point to the promise of Revelation 3:10, the change of focus after the letters to the churches, the possible removal of restraint in Second Thessalonians 2, and the bride in heaven before Christ rides forth in Revelation 19.

The strength of this view is the way it brings together the church's expectation of Christ, deliverance from wrath, the Israel-and-Jerusalem setting of Daniel's final week, and the different emphases of the meeting in the air and the public return. Its difficulty is that no single passage states in so many words that the church will be removed seven years before Christ returns, and several parts of the case depend on conclusions that must be acknowledged as inferences.

A careful pre-tribulation argument must therefore do more than point to the absence of the word church in Revelation's judgment chapters. It must explain the elect gathered after the tribulation in Matthew 24, the saints who suffer in Revelation, and Paul's connection between our gathering and the man of sin in Second Thessalonians 2. The case becomes strongest when all these passages are faced rather than avoided.

> **SCRIPTURES TO READ**
>
> John 14:1-3; 1 Thessalonians 1:10 and 4:13-5:11; 2 Thessalonians 2:1-8; Revelation 3:10; Revelation 4-19. These passages do not all carry the same weight, but together they form the pattern on which the view rests.

4. The Mid-Tribulation View

The mid-tribulation view places the catching up near the midpoint of Daniel's seventieth week, before the final three and a half years of exceptional trouble. It sees the abomination of desolation as a decisive turning point and often understands the second half of the week as the period in which divine wrath reaches its fullest expression.

Supporters of this position pay close attention to Daniel's repeated three-and-a-half-year pattern, the forty-two months in Revelation, and the 1,260 days connected with persecution and protection. Some connect the seventh trumpet of Revelation with Paul's 'last trump' in First Corinthians 15 and place the resurrection and catching up at that point.

The strength of the mid-tribulation view is that it recognises a real division within the final week and refuses to treat every event of the seven years as though it has the same character. It also takes seriously the prominence of the abomination of desolation in Daniel and in the teaching of Jesus, where that event marks the beginning of a unique time of distress.

Its main difficulty is showing that the church is specifically promised removal at the midpoint. The seventh trumpet in Revelation belongs to a sequence of judgments given to John, while Paul's 'last trump' is written to the church without an explanation that directly identifies it with the seventh trumpet. Similar language can point to a connection, but similarity alone does not prove that the two references describe the same trumpet.

The view also has to explain how the church's expectation of Christ functions if the first half of Daniel's week must already unfold. If believers can identify the covenant and then count to the midpoint, the coming of Christ becomes tied to a visible prophetic timetable in a way that many pre-tribulation readers find difficult to reconcile with imminence.

> **SCRIPTURES TO READ**
>
> Daniel 7:25; Daniel 9:27; Daniel 12:7; Matthew 24:15-22; 1 Corinthians 15:51-52; Revelation 11:15 and 12:6-14. The view is strongest when it establishes the midpoint from the text and then separately demonstrates why the church should be gathered there.

5. The Pre-Wrath View

The pre-wrath view places the rapture after the persecution associated with Antichrist but before the final outpouring of God's direct wrath. It therefore distinguishes sharply between the suffering inflicted by the world and Satan, which believers may endure, and the judicial wrath of God, from which the church is promised deliverance.

Many pre-wrath readers understand the first seals of Revelation as conquest, war, famine, death, and persecution arising through human and satanic evil. They see the cosmic disturbances of the sixth seal as announcing the Day of the Lord and place the gathering of believers around that transition, before the trumpet and bowl judgments proceed.

The strength of this view is that it refuses to make Christian suffering disappear from the prophetic discussion. It takes seriously the saints who endure persecution, the language of Matthew 24, and Paul's promise that believers are not appointed to wrath. It also asks an important question: does the Lamb's opening of the seals mean that every seal is itself divine wrath, or can the early seals describe evils that God sovereignly permits before His direct wrath begins?

Its difficulty lies in locating the boundary with certainty. Revelation 6:16-17 says that the great day of the Lamb's wrath has come, but interpreters disagree about whether the words introduce wrath at that moment or recognise a judgment that has already been unfolding. The view must also explain the church's relation to Daniel's entire seventieth week and how watchfulness operates if a substantial sequence of identifiable events must first occur.

Pre-wrath teaching reminds every side that persecution and divine wrath should not be carelessly confused. Yet the distinction, important as it is, does not by itself settle where the church is during Daniel's final week or prove that the seals before the sixth are outside the judicial action of the Lamb.

> **SCRIPTURES TO READ**
>
> Matthew 24:21-31; 1 Thessalonians 5:9; 2 Thessalonians 2:1-4; Revelation 6:9-17; Revelation 7:9-17. The central question is not whether God protects His people from wrath, but where Revelation itself marks the beginning of that wrath.

6. The Post-Tribulation View

The post-tribulation view places the resurrection and catching up of believers at the visible return of Christ after the tribulation. On this reading, the church meets the descending Lord and accompanies Him in His royal arrival, so the rapture and the public second coming are not separated by several years.

This position draws heavily from Matthew 24, where the Son of Man appears after the tribulation and gathers His elect, and from Second Thessalonians 2, where Paul discusses the coming of the Lord and our gathering to Him in the same context as the revealing of the man of sin. It also connects the 'last trump' of First Corinthians 15 with the finality of Christ's return and sees the first resurrection of Revelation 20 as following the defeat of the beast.

The strength of the post-tribulation view is its simple, unified picture of one climactic appearing in which resurrection, gathering, judgment, and Kingdom arrive together. It takes the endurance of the saints seriously and does not require the suffering believers in Revelation to belong to a company entirely distinct from the church.

Its difficulties concern the promises connected with wrath, the continuing distinction between Israel and the church, and the noticeably different tone of the passages. First Thessalonians 4 presents comfort and reunion in the air, while Revelation 19 presents open judgment upon the nations. Post-tribulation readers must also explain what practical purpose is served if believers rise to meet Christ only to return immediately with Him, although they answer that the meeting is the proper welcome of a returning King.

This view should not be dismissed as unbelief in the rapture, because it clearly affirms the catching up described by Paul. The disagreement is not about whether believers meet the Lord, but about whether that meeting happens before the final period begins or as Christ brings it to an end.

> **SCRIPTURES TO READ**
>
> Matthew 24:29-31; 1 Corinthians 15:51-52; 1 Thessalonians 4:13-18; 2 Thessalonians 2:1-4; Revelation 20:4-6. The position is strongest where these passages are read as descriptions of one public and climactic return.

7. The Passages That Shape the Debate

No single verse carries the entire discussion, so the passages below should be read in context and alongside one another. The question is not merely which view can quote a verse, because every view can do that. The real task is to ask which interpretation gives the best account of all the evidence while forcing the fewest passages into a shape they do not naturally carry.

PASSAGE	WHY IT MATTERS
John 14:1-3	Jesus promises to come again and receive His people to Himself. Pre-tribulation readers emphasise reception into the Father's house, while other views understand the promise more generally as the final return.
Matthew 24:29-31	The Son of Man appears after the tribulation and gathers His elect. The debate concerns whether the elect here are the church, Israel, or all believers gathered in connection with the visible return.
1 Corinthians 15:51-52	Living believers are changed at the last trump. The central question is whether 'last' means the final trumpet in every prophetic sequence or the trumpet that completes God's summons of the church.
1 Thessalonians 4:13-18	The Lord descends, the dead in Christ rise, and living believers are caught up to meet Him. The event is explicit, but the passage does not name its exact relationship to Daniel's seventieth week.
1 Thessalonians 5:1-11	The Day of the Lord comes suddenly, yet believers are not appointed to wrath. Interpreters disagree over when that Day begins and whether the whole final week belongs to it.
2 Thessalonians 2:1-8	Paul connects the coming of Christ, our gathering, apostasy, the man of sin, and the restrainer. The translation and relationship of these elements are central to every timing view.
Revelation 3:10	Christ promises to keep the faithful church from the worldwide hour of trial. The question is whether this means removal from the hour or preservation through it.
Revelation 6-7	The seals bring catastrophe, martyrs appear, and the world recognises the wrath of the Lamb. The key dispute concerns when divine wrath begins and who the suffering saints are.

8. Comparing the Four Views

A fair comparison should name both the strength and the difficulty of each position. If I can describe only the weaknesses of a view I reject and only the strengths of the view I hold, I have not yet listened carefully enough to the discussion.

VIEW	TIMING	MAIN STRENGTH	MAIN DIFFICULTY
Pre-tribulation	Before Daniel's seventieth week.	Brings together imminence, deliverance from wrath, Israel's prophetic programme, and the distinction between reception and public return.	Depends on a cumulative case because no verse states a seven-year interval in one direct sentence.
Mid-tribulation	Near the midpoint, before the final three and a half years.	Recognises the midpoint and abomination as a decisive change within the final week.	Must demonstrate that Scripture actually promises the church's removal at that midpoint.
Pre-wrath	After Antichrist's persecution but before God's final wrath.	Distinguishes satanic persecution from divine wrath and takes suffering saints seriously.	Must locate the beginning of wrath and explain the church's relationship to the wider final week.
Post-tribulation	At Christ's visible return after the tribulation.	Offers one climactic return and reads the gathering passages in a direct, unified sequence.	Must reconcile that sequence with deliverance from wrath, imminence, and the differing descriptions of the Lord's coming.

The table simplifies positions that have variations within them, but it shows where the real disagreement lies. The question is not whether Christians will suffer, because Jesus made it plain that believers face tribulation in the world. The question is whether the church remains on earth during the period in which Daniel's final week unfolds and the Lamb opens the judgments described in Revelation.

I have found it helpful to ask three questions of every view. Does it respect the original setting of Daniel's prophecy? Does it distinguish what the text says from what the system infers? Does it leave the church watching for Christ in a way that produces faithfulness rather than either panic or carelessness?

A view should also be judged by the way it handles its most difficult passages, not only by the verses that appear to support it. Strong interpretation is willing to bring objections into the open and admit where an answer remains less than certain.

9. Why I Remain Pre-Tribulation

After weighing the passages, I remain persuaded that the catching up of the church most likely occurs before Daniel's seventieth week and before the outpouring of the Day of the Lord. I do not reach that conclusion because it offers an easy escape from suffering, because Christians have never been promised a life without suffering. I reach it because I believe it gives the best overall account of the church's expectation, deliverance from wrath, the continuing prophetic place of Israel, and the different descriptions of Christ receiving His people and returning openly to judge.

Daniel 9 addresses Daniel's people and holy city, and the final week returns the reader to covenant, sacrifice, Jerusalem, and the coming ruler. The church shares in salvation through Israel's Messiah, but that does not require Israel's promises to disappear. A prior gathering of the church fits naturally with the renewed focus on Israel without making two ways of salvation, because Jews and Gentiles are saved only through Jesus Christ.

The promise that believers are not appointed to wrath also carries real weight. I recognise that every view distinguishes God's wrath in some way, but when the Lamb Himself opens the seals, I find it difficult to treat the early judgments as though they stand outside His judicial authority. The entire sequence unfolds beneath the throne, and that makes an earlier removal of the church more convincing to me.

Imminence strengthens the pattern. The New Testament repeatedly directs the church to wait for Christ, remain watchful, and live as people whose Lord may come. If the covenant, the man of sin, the abomination, or a particular seal must first be identified, the expectation becomes tied to a sequence that can increasingly be calculated once it begins.

Even so, I do not want to claim more than the passages allow. The restrainer is not named, the absence of the word church from the judgment chapters is supporting evidence rather than proof, and the identity of the elect and the saints must be argued from context. The pre-tribulation case is cumulative, and its honesty is strengthened rather than weakened when those limits are admitted.

My conclusion is therefore firm but not proud. I believe the pre-tribulation view best fits the whole pattern, while I hold the explicit promise of the catching up with even greater certainty than my conclusion about its timing. Christians can disagree about the sequence without treating one another as enemies of the truth.

> **WHERE I STAND**
>
> The catching up is real, and I believe it will occur before Daniel's seventieth week. The greater certainty is that Christ will return, the dead in Christ will rise, living believers will be changed, and all who belong to Him will be with the Lord forever.

10. Until He Comes

The rapture should never become only a chart, an argument, or a test by which we measure the faithfulness of every other Christian. Paul gave this truth to comfort grieving believers, and Jesus gave His promise so that troubled hearts would rest in Him. The centre of our hope is not merely leaving the earth; it is being with the Lord who loved us and gave Himself for us.

Prophecy should therefore search our hearts before it fills our notebooks. If I believe Christ may come, that belief should make me more faithful, more urgent with the gospel, more willing to forgive, and more careful about the way I live. A correct prophetic position joined to pride, harshness, or spiritual carelessness would still dishonour the One whose coming we claim to expect.

The world may become unstable, but God is not unstable. Nations may rage, evil may increase, and believers may feel overwhelmed by what they see, yet the throne of heaven is still occupied. The beast, Babylon, Satan, and death all have an appointed end, while the Kingdom of Jesus Christ does not.

This is why we can discuss the views honestly without losing our peace. Our salvation does not depend on explaining every seal and trumpet correctly, and no prophetic system can take the place of knowing Christ. The most important question is not whether a person can draw the perfect timeline, but whether that person belongs to the Saviour.

Until He comes, the church must preach the gospel, comfort the grieving, pursue holiness, remain watchful, and refuse deception. We should not set dates or turn every headline into fulfilment, but neither should we neglect the promise of His return. Biblical hope looks forward while still producing obedience today.

The final word is not fear. The final word is Jesus Christ, crucified, risen, exalted, and coming again. The believing heart can still answer the closing promise of Scripture with confidence: 'Amen. Even so, come, Lord Jesus.'

Continue the Study

This guide is a companion to Is the Rapture a Myth, or Is It Real?, Book One in The Church, Israel and the Coming King series. The full book follows the prophetic story from Genesis to Revelation and examines the biblical and historical questions in greater depth.

Visit andremountjoy.com to explore the complete series and the other books by André Mountjoy.

Scripture quotations are from the King James Version. Copyright © 2026 André Mountjoy. All rights reserved.